

Naïve Bayes

Dr. Xiaowei Huang

<https://cgi.csc.liv.ac.uk/~xiaowei/>

Up to now,

- Three machine learning algorithms:
 - decision tree learning
 - k-nn
 - linear regression + gradient descent
 - linear regression
 - linear classification
 - logistic regression
 - gradient descent
 - gradient descent on Linear Regression
 - Linear Regression: Analytical Solution

Topics

- MLE (maximum Likelihood Estimation) and MAP
- Naïve Bayes

Recall: MAP Queries (Most Probable Explanation)

- Finding a high probability assignment to some subset of variables
- Most likely assignment to all non-evidence variables W

$$MAP(W | e) = \arg \max_w P(w, e) \quad P(w, e) = P(w | e) P(e)$$

i.e., value of w for which $P(w, e)$ is maximum

Estimating Parameters

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE): choose θ that maximizes probability of observed data D

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(D | \theta)$$

- Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimate: choose θ that is most probable given **prior probability** and the data



$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\theta | D) \text{ \textit{posterior}}$$

$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{P(D|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(D)} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(D|\theta)P(\theta)$$

Reducing the number of
parameters to estimate

Let's learn classifiers by learning $P(Y|X)$

- Consider $Y = \text{Wealth}$, $X = \langle \text{Gender}, \text{HoursWorked} \rangle$

gender	hours_worked	wealth		
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122	
		rich	0.0245895	
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768	
		rich	0.0116293	
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313	
		rich	0.0971295	
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106	
		rich	0.105933	

Let's learn classifiers by learning $P(Y|X)$

- $P(\text{gender, hoursWorked, wealth}) \Rightarrow P(\text{wealth} | \text{gender, hoursWorked})$

Gender	HrsWorked	P(rich G,HW)	P(poor G,HW)
F	<40.5	.09	.91
F	>40.5	.21	.79
M	<40.5	.23	.77
M	>40.5	.38	.62

How many parameters must we estimate?

- Suppose $X = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$ where X_i and Y are Boolean real variables
- To estimate $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

**2^n quantities need to be estimated
or collected!**

- If we have 30 Boolean X_i 's: $P(Y | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{30})$

$2^{30} \sim 1$ billion!

- You need lots of data or a very small n

Gender	HrsWorked	P(rich G,HW)	P(poor G,HW)
F	<40.5	.09	.91
F	>40.5	.21	.79
M	<40.5	.23	.77
M	>40.5	.38	.62

Can we reduce parameters using Bayes Rule?

- Suppose $X = \langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$ where X_i and Y are Boolean real variables
- By Bayes rule:

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

- How many parameters for $P(X|Y) = P(X_1, \dots, X_n | Y)$?

$(2^n - 1) \times 2$

How many parameters for $P(Y)$?

1

For example, $P(\text{Wealth})$

For example,
 $P(\text{Gender}, \text{HrsWorked} | \text{Wealth})$

Gender	HrsWorked	P(rich G,HW)	P(poor G,HW)
F	<40.5	.09	.91
F	>40.5	.21	.79
M	<40.5	.23	.77
M	>40.5	.38	.62

Naïve Bayes

- Naïve Bayes assumes

$$P(X_1 \dots X_n | Y) = \prod_i P(X_i | Y)$$

i.e., that X_i and X_j are **conditionally independent** given Y , for all $i \neq j$

For example,

$$P(\text{Gender}, \text{HrsWorked} | \text{Wealth}) = P(\text{Gender} | \text{Wealth}) * P(\text{HrsWorked} | \text{Wealth})$$

Recap: Conditional independence

- Two variables A,B are *independent* if

$$P(A \wedge B) = P(A)P(B)$$

$$\forall a, b : P(A = a \wedge B = b) = P(A = a)P(B = b)$$

- Two variables A,B are *conditionally independent given C* if

$$P(A \wedge B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$$

$$\forall a, b, c : P(A = a \wedge B = b|C = c) = P(A = a|C = c)P(B = b|C = c)$$

Recap: Conditional Independence

- A is conditionally independent of B given C, if the probability distribution governing A is independent of the value of B, given the value of C

$$\forall a, b, c : P(A = a | B = b, C = c) = P(A = a | C = c)$$

- Which we often write $P(A|B, C) = P(A|C)$
- Example: $P(\textit{Thunder} | \textit{Rain}, \textit{Lightning}) = P(\textit{Thunder} | \textit{Lightning})$

Assumption for Naïve Bayes

- Naïve Bayes uses assumption that the X_i are conditionally independent, given Y
- Given this assumption, then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, X_2|Y) &= P(X_1|X_2, Y)P(X_2|Y) && \text{Chain rule} \\ &= P(X_1|Y)P(X_2|Y) && \text{Conditional Independence} \end{aligned}$$

- in general: $P(X_1 \dots X_n|Y) = \prod_i P(X_i|Y)$
 $(2^n - 1) \times 2$ $2n$ Why? Every $P(X_i|Y)$ takes a parameter, and we have n X_i .

Reducing the number of parameters to estimate

$$P(Y|X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{P(X_1, \dots, X_n|Y)P(Y)}{P(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$$

- To make this tractable we naively assume conditional independence of the features given the class: ie

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n|Y) = P(X_1|Y)P(X_2|Y)\dots P(X_n|Y)$$

- Now: I only need to estimate ... parameters:

$$P(X_1|Y), P(X_2|Y), \dots, P(X_n|Y), P(Y)$$

Reducing the number of parameters to estimate

How many parameters to describe $P(X_1, \dots, X_n|Y)$? $P(Y)$?

- Without conditional independent assumption?
 - $(2^n - 1) \times 2 + 1$
- With conditional independent assumption?
 - $2n + 1$

Naïve Bayes Algorithm

Naïve Bayes Algorithm – discrete X_i

- Train Naïve Bayes (given data for X and Y)
- for each value y_k
 - Estimate $\pi_k \equiv P(Y = y_k)$
- for each value x_{ij} of each attribute X_i
 - estimate $\theta_{ijk} = P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$

Training Naïve Bayes Classifier

- From the data D , estimate *class priors*:
 - For each possible value of Y , estimate $Pr(Y=y_1), Pr(Y=y_2), \dots, Pr(Y=y_k)$

- An estimate:

$$\hat{\pi}_k = \hat{P}(Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}{|D|}$$

- From the data, estimate the conditional probabilities

- If every X_i has values x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ik}
 - for each y_i and each X_i estimate $q(i,j,k) = Pr(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$

- $$\hat{\theta}_{ijk} = \hat{P}(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k) = \frac{\#D\{X_i = x_{ij} \wedge Y = y_k\}}{\#D\{Y = y_k\}}$$

Number of items in dataset D for which $Y=y_k$

Exercise

- Consider the following dataset:
- $P(\text{Wealthy}=Y) =$
- $P(\text{Wealthy}=N) =$
- $P(\text{Gender}=F \mid \text{Wealthy} = Y) =$
- $P(\text{Gender}=M \mid \text{Wealthy} = Y) =$
- $P(\text{HrsWorked} > 40.5 \mid \text{Wealthy} = Y) =$
- $P(\text{HrsWorked} < 40.5 \mid \text{Wealthy} = Y) =$
- $P(\text{Gender}=F \mid \text{Wealthy} = N) =$
- $P(\text{Gender}=M \mid \text{Wealthy} = N) =$
- $P(\text{HrsWorked} > 40.5 \mid \text{Wealthy} = N) =$
- $P(\text{HrsWorked} < 40.5 \mid \text{Wealthy} = N) =$

Gender	HrsWorked	Wealthy?
F	39	Y
F	45	N
M	35	N
M	43	N
F	32	Y
F	47	Y
M	34	Y

Naïve Bayes Algorithm – discrete X_i

- Train Naïve Bayes (given data for X and Y)
- for each value y_k
 - Estimate $\pi_k \equiv P(Y = y_k)$
- for each value x_{ij} of each attribute X_i
 - estimate $\theta_{ijk} = P(X_i = x_{ij} | Y = y_k)$
- Classify (X_{new})

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{new} | Y = y_k)$$

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg \max_{y_k} \pi_k \prod_i \theta_{ijk}$$

Exercise (Continued)

- Consider the following dataset:
- Classify a new instance
 - Gender = F \wedge HrsWorked = 44

Gender	HrsWorked	Wealthy?
F	39	Y
F	45	N
M	35	N
M	43	N
F	32	Y
F	47	Y
M	34	Y

Example: Live outside of Liverpool? $P(L|T,D,E)$

- $L=1$ iff live outside of Liverpool
- $D=1$ iff Drive or Carpool to Liverpool
- $T=1$ iff shop at Tesco
- $E=1$ iff Even # letters last name

$P(L=1) :$

$P(D=1 | L=1) :$

$P(D=1 | L=0) :$

$P(T=1 | L=1) :$

$P(T=1 | L=0) :$

$P(E=1 | L=1) :$

$P(E=1 | L=0) :$

$P(L=0) :$

$P(D=0 | L=1) :$

$P(D=0 | L=0) :$

$P(T=0 | L=1) :$

$P(T=0 | L=0) :$

$P(E=0 | L=1) :$

$P(E=0 | L=0) :$